

**ma  
the  
ma  
tisch**

**cen  
trum**

---

AFDELING ZUIVERE WISKUNDE  
(DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS)

ZN 69/76

DECEMBER

J. DE VRIES

BOUNDS FOR A CARDINAL FUNCTION ON  $G$ -SPACES

---

**amsterdam**

**1976**

**stichting  
mathematisch  
centrum**



---

AFDELING ZUIVERE WISKUNDE  
(DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS)

ZN 69/76

DECEMBER

J. DE VRIES

BOUNDS FOR A CARDINAL FUNCTION ON G-SPACES

---

**2e boerhaavestraat 49 amsterdam**

*Printed at the Mathematical Centre, 49, 2e Boerhaavestraat, Amsterdam.*

*The Mathematical Centre, founded the 11-th of February 1946, is a non-profit institution aiming at the promotion of pure mathematics and its applications. It is sponsored by the Netherlands Government through the Netherlands Organization for the Advancement of Pure Research (Z.W.O).*

# Bounds for a cardinal function on $G$ -spaces

by

J. de Vries

## ABSTRACT

Let  $G$  be a locally compact topological group. For every Tychonoff  $G$ -space  $\langle X, \pi \rangle$  we define  $b\langle X, \pi \rangle$  as the least cardinal number of a base of a uniformity for  $X$  with respect to which  $\pi$  is motion-equicontinuous. We show in this note that  $\ell w(G) \leq b\langle X, \pi \rangle \leq w(X)$ , where  $\ell w$  and  $w$  denote the local weight and the weight function, respectively.

KEY WORDS & PHRASES:  *$G$ -space, locally compact topological group, motion-equicontinuity, boundedness for  $G$ -spaces, weight, local weight, uniform weight*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this note the letter  $G$  will always denote a locally compact topological group with unit  $e$ . Recall that a  $G$ -space is an ordered pair  $\langle X, \pi \rangle$ , where  $X$  is a topological space and  $\pi: G \times X \rightarrow X$  is a continuous mapping such that  $\pi(e, x) = x$  and  $\pi(t, \pi(s, x)) = \pi(ts, x)$  for all  $t, s \in G$  and  $x \in X$ . We shall use the following notation:  $\pi^t x := \pi(t, x) =: \pi_x t$  for  $(t, x) \in G \times X$ . The  $G$ -space  $\langle X, \pi \rangle$  is called *effective* whenever  $\pi^t \neq \pi^e$  for  $t \neq e$ . In the sequel we shall use only Tychonoff  $G$ -spaces, i.e.  $G$ -spaces  $\langle X, \pi \rangle$  where  $X$  is a Tychonoff (= completely regular Hausdorff) space. If  $\mathcal{U}$  is an admissible uniformity for  $X$  then  $\langle X, \pi \rangle$  is called  $\mathcal{U}$ -bounded<sup>)1</sup> whenever the subset  $\{\pi_x : x \in X\}$  of  $C(G, X)$  is equicontinuous at  $e$  (with respect to the uniformity  $\mathcal{U}$  in  $X$ , of course). In [2], Proposition 7.3.12 it has been shown that this concept of boundedness is closely related to the possible existence of a  $G$ -compactification of  $\langle X, \pi \rangle$ , that is, an equivariant embedding of  $\langle X, \pi \rangle$  in a compact Hausdorff  $G$ -space. According to the main result in [3], there exists always a uniformity  $\mathcal{U}$  for  $X$  such that  $\langle X, \pi \rangle$  is  $\mathcal{U}$ -bounded, provided  $G$  is locally compact. In that case, the least cardinal number of a base for a uniformity  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$  such that  $\langle X, \pi \rangle$  is  $\mathcal{U}$ -bounded will be denoted  $b\langle X, \pi \rangle$ . We shall derive bounds for  $b\langle X, \pi \rangle$  in terms of the local weight  $\ell w(G)$  of  $G$ , the weight  $w(X)$  and the uniform weight  $u(X)$  of  $X$ . In addition, we touch the question whether there is any relationship between the existence of a metrizable  $G$ -compactification of  $\langle X, \pi \rangle$  (in the case that  $X$  is separable and metrizable) and the value of  $b\langle X, \pi \rangle$ .

## 2. RESULTS

PROPOSITION. *Let  $G$  be a locally compact topological group. Then for every Tychonoff  $G$ -space  $\langle X, \pi \rangle$  the following inequalities hold:*

$$\max\{\ell w(G), u(X)\} \leq b\langle X, \pi \rangle \leq w(X).$$

---

<sup>)1</sup> Also called *motion-equicontinuous* by some authors.

PROOF. It is obvious that  $u(X) \leq b\langle X, \pi \rangle$ , so it is sufficient to prove that  $\ell w(G) \leq b\langle X, \pi \rangle \leq w(X)$ . First, we show that  $\ell w(G) \leq b\langle X, \pi \rangle$  provided  $\langle X, \pi \rangle$  is effective. To this end, consider an admissible uniformity  $\mathcal{U}$  for  $X$  such that  $\langle X, \pi \rangle$  is  $\mathcal{U}$ -bounded, and which has a base  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $|\mathcal{B}| = b\langle X, \pi \rangle$ . Define, for every  $x \in X$  and  $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}$ ,

$$V_{x,\alpha} := \{t \in G : (x, \pi_x t) \in \alpha\}.$$

Since the mapping  $t \mapsto (x, \pi_x t) : G \rightarrow X \times X$  is continuous and each  $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}$  is a neighbourhood of the diagonal in  $X \times X$ , each  $V_{x,\alpha}$  is a neighbourhood of  $e$  in  $G$ . Setting  $V_\alpha := \bigcap \{V_{x,\alpha} : x \in X\}$ , the fact that  $\langle X, \pi \rangle$  is  $\mathcal{U}$ -bounded implies that  $V_\alpha$  is a neighbourhood of  $e$  in  $G$  for every  $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}$ . Moreover,  $\bigcap \{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathcal{B}\} = \{e\}$  because  $\langle X, \pi \rangle$  is effective. It follows, that  $G$  is a Hausdorff group. However,  $G$  is locally compact, and now the fact that  $\bigcap \{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathcal{B}\} = \{e\}$  implies that  $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathcal{B}\}$  is a local subbase at  $e$ . Therefore,  $\ell w(G) \leq |\mathcal{B}| = b\langle X, \pi \rangle$ .

Next, we show that  $b\langle X, \pi \rangle \leq w(X)$ . Remember from the first part of the proof that  $G$  is Hausdorff. Since  $G$  is also locally compact, and  $G$  acts effectively on  $X$ , it follows that  $\ell w(G) \leq w(X)$ ; see [4]. In [3], we constructed a uniformity  $\mathcal{U}$  for  $X$  such that  $\langle X, \pi \rangle$  is  $\mathcal{U}$ -bounded. This uniformity was generated by a set  $\{g_j : j \in J\}$  of continuous,  $[0,1]$ -valued functions, whence  $b\langle X, \pi \rangle \leq |J|$ . In the construction, the index set  $J$  was, in fact, the set  $B_e \times C(X, [0,1])$ , where  $B_e$  is a local base at  $e$  in  $G$ . So we may assume that  $|B_e| = \ell w(G) \leq w(X)$ . However, the construction in [3] works equally well if we replace  $C(X, [0,1])$  by any of its subsets which separates points and closed subsets of  $X$ . Since  $X$  can topologically be embedded in a product of  $w(X)$  copies of  $[0,1]$ , there exists such a subset of  $C(X, [0,1])$  of cardinality  $w(X)$ . Thus we may assume that  $|J| \leq w(X)$ , whence  $b\langle X, \pi \rangle \leq w(X)$ .  $\square$

REMARKS. Let  $\langle X, \pi \rangle$  be a  $G$ -space.

1. If  $\mathcal{U}$  is an admissible uniformity for  $X$  and if  $\mathcal{B}$  is a base for  $\mathcal{U}$ , then we can define, for every  $x \in X$  and every  $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}$ , as in the above proof

$$V_{x,\alpha} := \{t \in G : (x, \pi_x t) \in \alpha\};$$

$$V_\alpha := \bigcap_{x \in X} V_{x,\alpha}.$$

Obviously,  $\langle X, \pi \rangle$  is  $\mathcal{U}$ -bounded iff  $V_\alpha$  is a neighbourhood of  $e$  in  $G$  for every  $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}$ .

The following is easy to prove: if  $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $\alpha$  is closed in  $X \times X$ , and if  $A$  is a dense subset of  $X$ , then

$$V_\alpha = \bigcap_{x \in A} V_{x, \alpha}.$$

If  $G$  is non-discrete, and the cardinal number  $p(G)$  is defined as the least cardinal number of a collection of neighbourhoods of  $e$  in  $G$  whose intersection is *not* a neighbourhood of  $e$ , then the following statement is clear: if  $d(X) < p(G)$ , then  $\langle X, \pi \rangle$  is  $\mathcal{U}$ -bounded for every admissible uniformity  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$  (here  $d(X)$  denotes the density of  $X$ ). This generalizes the trivial observation that  $\langle X, \pi \rangle$  is  $\mathcal{U}$ -bounded for every admissible uniformity if  $G$  is discrete.

2. In the second part of the proof of our proposition, i.e. the proof that  $b\langle X, \pi \rangle \leq \omega(X)$ , we used the fact that  $G$  was Hausdorff (shown in the first part of the proof) and that  $\langle X, \pi \rangle$  was effective. Both assumptions can be removed. Indeed, if  $G$  is locally compact (but possibly not Hausdorff) and  $\langle X, \pi \rangle$  is not effective, then  $H := \{t \in G : \pi^t = \pi^e\}$  is a closed, normal subgroup of  $G$ . Hence  $G/H$  is a locally compact Hausdorff group. Moreover,  $G/H$  acts effectively on  $X$  by  $\sigma(tH, x) := \pi(t, x)$  ( $t \in G, x \in X$ ). So we have an effective  $G/H$ -space  $\langle X, \sigma \rangle$ . It is easy to see that for every admissible uniformity  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$  the  $G$ -space  $\langle X, \pi \rangle$  is  $\mathcal{U}$ -bounded iff the  $G/H$ -space  $\langle X, \sigma \rangle$  is  $\mathcal{U}$ -bounded, so that  $b\langle X, \pi \rangle = b\langle X, \sigma \rangle$ . But our proposition applies to the  $G/H$ -space  $\langle X, \sigma \rangle$  to the effect that  $b\langle X, \sigma \rangle \leq \omega(X)$ . Hence  $b\langle X, \pi \rangle \leq \omega(X)$ .

3. In a similar way one shows, that if  $\langle X, \pi \rangle$  is not effective, and  $G$  possibly not Hausdorff (but still locally compact), then  $\ell w(G/H) \leq b\langle X, \pi \rangle$ .

4. In [2], 7.3.2, we defined a  $G$ -space  $\langle X, \pi \rangle$  to be *metrically bounded* if  $X$  is metrizable and  $\langle X, \pi \rangle$  is  $\mathcal{U}$ -bounded for some metric uniformity  $\mathcal{U}$  (equivalently: a uniformity with a countable base). So a  $G$ -space  $\langle X, \pi \rangle$  is metrically bounded iff  $b\langle X, \pi \rangle \leq \aleph_0$ . It was shown that if  $G$  is locally compact and sigma-compact, then  $\langle X, \pi \rangle$  is metrically bounded if  $X$  is separable and metrizable. Using Remark 2 above, it is clear that sigma-compactness of  $G$  can be removed from the hypothesis: *if  $G$  is locally compact then every separable metrizable  $G$ -space  $\langle X, \pi \rangle$  is metrically bounded.*

5. In a sense, the bounds for  $b\langle X, \pi \rangle$  given in our proposition are best possible. Indeed, if  $G$  is discrete and  $X$  is metrizable but not separable, then  $b\langle X, \pi \rangle = u(X) = \aleph_0$  and  $b\langle X, \pi \rangle < w(X)$ . On the other hand, in [2], 7.3.5 (iii) is an example of a locally compact (even sigma-compact) group  $G$  and a non-separable metrizable space  $X$  for which  $b\langle X, \pi \rangle = w(X)$ . Finally, if we consider a suitable locally compact group  $G$  acting on itself by left translations, we obtain a  $G$ -space  $\langle G, \rho \rangle$  with  $b\langle G, \rho \rangle = \ell w(G) < w(G)$  (start with a group  $G$  for which  $\ell w(G) < w(G)$ , and observe that  $(G, \rho)$  is  $U$ -bounded for the right uniformity  $U$  of  $G$ ; hence  $b\langle G, \rho \rangle \leq \ell w(G)$ ).

### 3. RELATION OF $b\langle X, \pi \rangle$ TO THE SIZE OF $G$ -COMPACTIFICATIONS

Recall from [3] that a  $G$ -compactification of  $\langle X, \pi \rangle$  is an equivariant dense embedding of  $\langle X, \pi \rangle$  in a compact Hausdorff  $G$ -space  $\langle Y, \sigma \rangle$ . If  $\langle Y, \sigma \rangle$  is a  $G$ -compactification of  $\langle X, \pi \rangle$ , then clearly  $b\langle X, \pi \rangle \leq u(Y)$ . Indeed, since  $Y$  is compact, a straightforward compactness argument shows that  $\langle Y, \sigma \rangle$  is bounded with respect to its unique uniformity  $U$ . Then  $\langle X, \pi \rangle$  is, of course, bounded with respect to the relativation of  $U$  to  $X$ , and  $b\langle X, \pi \rangle \leq u(Y)$ . However, for the compact space  $Y$ , we have  $u(Y) = w(Y)$ , hence  $b\langle X, \pi \rangle \leq w(Y)$ . In [3], the existence of a  $G$ -compactification  $\langle Y, \sigma \rangle$  of  $\langle X, \pi \rangle$  has been shown such that  $w(Y) \leq \max\{w(G), w(X)\}$ , under the assumptions that  $G$  is locally compact and  $X$  is a Tychonoff space. Obviously, this is consistent with our proposition, but it gives no additional information about the value of  $b\langle X, \pi \rangle$ .

So we ask the question the other way round: can the weight of a possible  $G$ -compactification be estimated in terms of  $b\langle X, \pi \rangle$ ? In particular, has  $\langle X, \pi \rangle$  a metrizable  $G$ -compactification if  $b\langle X, \pi \rangle = \aleph_0$ ? The following example (which is essentially due to the late professor J. DE GROOT [1]) answers the second question in the negative, thus leaving completely open the first one.

EXAMPLE. Let  $X$  be the space of the rationals with its usual topology, and let  $G$  be the group of all homeomorphisms of  $X$  onto itself, provided with the discrete topology, the action of  $G$  on  $X$  being the obvious one.



Then  $b\langle X, \pi \rangle = u(X) = \aleph_0$ . We shall show that no  $G$ -compactification of  $\langle X, \pi \rangle$  can be metrizable.

Let  $Y$  be an arbitrary metrizable compactification of  $X$ . Then the metric of  $Y$  induces a metric in  $X$ , and if all members of  $G$  were extendable to  $Y$ , they would be all uniformly continuous with respect to this metric. This, however, is not true: there exists a Cauchy sequence  $\{x_n\}_n$  in  $X$  with respect to this metric which does not converge ( $X$  is not topologically complete).

If  $\{a_n\}_n$  and  $\{b_n\}_n$  are sequences converging to 0 and 1 respectively, then there exists  $h \in G$  such that  $h(x_n) = a_n$  if  $n$  is odd and  $h(x_n) = b_n$  if  $n$  is even. Then  $h$  is not uniformly continuous.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] DE GROOT, J., *The action of a locally compact group on a metric space*, Nieuw Arch. Wisk. (3) 7 (1959), 70-74.
- [2] DE VRIES, J., *Topological transformation groups I: a categorical approach*, Mathematical Centre Tracts no: 65, 1975.
- [3] ———, *On the existence of  $G$ -compactifications*, submitted for publication.
- [4] ———, *On the local weight of effective topological transformation groups*, submitted for publication.